

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

# Applied Radiation and Isotopes



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apradiso

# Transferring calibration coefficients from ionisation chambers used for diagnostic radiology to transmission chambers

## Maíra T. Yoshizumi\*, Linda V.E. Caldas

Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares IPEN - CNEN/SP, Av. Prof. Lineu Prestes 2242, 05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil

#### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

Available online 26 December 2011

*Keywords:* Transmission chamber X radiation Graphite chamber In this work, the response of a double volume transmission ionisation chamber, developed at the Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, was compared to that of a commercial transmission chamber. Both ionisation chambers were tested in different X-ray beam qualities using secondary standard ionisation chambers as reference dosimeters. These standard ionisation chambers were a parallel-plate and a cylindrical ionisation chambers, used for diagnostic radiology and mammography beam qualities, respectively. The response of both transmission chambers was compared to that of the secondary standard chambers to obtain coefficients of equivalence. These coefficients allow the transmission chambers to be used as reference equipment.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Cavity ionisation chambers, calibrated against primary standard chambers, are used by secondary standard laboratories to determine the air kerma rates of an X-ray unit, to establish beam qualities, and to calibrate field detectors. The primary standards used to determine the air kerma rate in X radiation beams are parallel plate ionisation chambers for low energy beams (up to 100 kV) and cylindrical ionisation chambers for medium energy beams (over 100 kV). Free air chambers can also be used as primary standards for X-ray beams up to 320 kV.

At the Calibration Laboratory of IPEN there are some secondary standard ionisation chambers traceable mostly to the German primary laboratory Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, PTB. These ionisation chambers were calibrated in different radiation energies and distances, covering radioprotection, radiotherapy and diagnostic radiology levels.

The calibration of instruments using secondary standard ionisation chambers, in a routine service, is a time-consuming procedure, and it may damage the equipment since it will be put in and out the radiation field many times a day. One solution for this problem is to transfer the calibration coefficients to the monitor chamber, which, in this case, is the transmission chamber (IAEA, 2000).

In this work, the calibration coefficients of secondary standard ionisation chambers were transferred to two transmission chambers. A commercial transmission chamber, PTW, and a homemade transmission chamber with a double sensitive volume and graphite coated collecting electrodes were used.

The transferred calibration coefficients, called in this work coefficient of equivalence, will allow the transmission chambers to be used as reference systems provided that their responses are stable. The objective of this work was to verify the response stability of two transmission ionisation chambers and to transfer the calibration coefficients from secondary standard chambers to those transmission chambers.

#### 2. Materials and methods

In this work four different ionisation chambers were used to perform two tests: transference of the calibration coefficients and response stability.

#### 2.1. Materials

The homemade transmission chamber, developed at IPEN, was manufactured using two aluminized polyester foils and a graphite coated polyester foil. The chamber body is made of PMMA and the electrodes are connected to co-axial cables. This ionisation chamber has two sensitive volumes with a total volume of around 64 cm<sup>3</sup> (Yoshizumi and Caldas, 2010) and it can be seen in Fig. 1.

The commercial transmission chamber utilized in this work is a transmission chamber, Physikalisch-Technische Wersksttäten (PTW), Germany, model 34014, with sensitive volume of 86 cm<sup>3</sup>.

Two secondary standard chambers were used: an unsealed cylindrical ion chamber, Radcal, model RC6, calibrated in standard

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 11 31339652.

*E-mail addresses:* mairaty@ipen.br (M.T. Yoshizumi), lcaldas@ipen.br (L.V.E. Caldas).

<sup>0969-8043/</sup> $\$  - see front matter @ 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.apradiso.2011.11.053



Fig. 1. Diagram of the homemade transmission chamber.

#### Table 1

Characteristics of standard diagnostic radiology beam qualities established in the Pantak/Seifert X-ray unit (IEC, 2005).

Radiation beam quality	Voltage (kV)	Additional filtration (mmAl)	Half-value layer (mmAl)	Air kerma rate (mGy/min)
RQR3	50	2.4	1.78	$22.4 \pm 0.2$
RQR5	70	2.8	2.58	$38.6 \pm 0.3$
RQR8	100	3.2	3.97	$69.3\pm0.5$
RQR10	150	4.2	6.57	$120.0\pm1.0$
RQA3 RQA5 RQA8 RQA10	50 70 100 150	3.8 6.8 10.1 13 3	12.4 23.8 37.2 49.2	$3.3 \pm 0.1$ $3.1 \pm 0.1$ $5.1 \pm 0.1$ $11.3 \pm 0.1$
		10.0	10.2	11.0 - 0.1

#### Table 2

Characteristics of standard mammography beam qualities established in the Pantak/Seifert X-ray unit (PTB, 2009).

Radiation	Voltage	Additional	Half-value	Air kerma rate
beam quality	(kV)	filtration (mmAl)	layer (mmAl)	(mGy/min)
WMV 25	25	0.07	0.36	$\begin{array}{c} 9.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 12.2 \pm 0.1 \\ 13.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 17.9 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$
WMV 28	28	0.07	0.37	
WMV 30	30	0.07	0.38	
WMV 35	35	0.07	0.41	

diagnostic radiology quality beams and an unsealed parallel plate ion chamber, Radcal, model RC6M, calibrated in standard mammography quality beams.

A  ${}^{90}$ Sr $+{}^{90}$ Y check source device, PTW, model 8921, with nominal activity of 33 MBq (1994) was utilized in the response stability tests.

An X-ray unit, Pantak/Seifert, model ISOVOLT 160HS, was utilized to perform the tests. In this work, the diagnostic radiology and mammography beam qualities, as shown in Tables 1 and 2, were used. The air kerma rates were measured at 100 cm.

#### 2.2. Methods

To transfer the calibration coefficients from secondary standard ionisation chambers to transmission chambers, both chambers were irradiated at the same time, i.e., the transmission chamber, positioned at 30 cm from the X-ray focal spot was simultaneously irradiated with the standard chamber, positioned at 100 cm from the X-ray focal spot. As the transmission chambers were not irradiated at the same position as the reference equipment (substitution method), this procedure was not called calibration. This procedure was adopted, because irradiating the transmission chamber at the calibration distance of 100 cm makes no sense since its recommended usage position is 30 cm. The chamber responses were compared and coefficients of equivalence for the transmission chambers were obtained for

$$N = \frac{M^* \cdot k_T^* \cdot k_p^* \cdot k_c^*}{M \cdot k_T \cdot k_p},$$

where *M* is the mean value measured with the transmission chamber,  $k_T$  and  $k_p$  are the correction factors for temperature and pressure, respectively, and  $k_c$  is the calibration coefficient of the standard chamber. The symbol (\*) refers to the secondary standard chamber terms.

each radiation beam quality from the following equation:

The response stability test of the transmission chambers was performed using both a beta check source device and an X-ray equipment. In this test, the leakage current was evaluated during 20 min before and after irradiation. In the repeatability test, 10 measurements were taken consecutively; the standard deviation shall be less than 3% (IEC, 1997). And, at last, the medium-term stability test was performed evaluating the chamber response during a time interval. According to the IEC publication (IEC, 1997), the long-term response stability shall not present a variation of over  $\pm 2\%$ , during 1 year. In this study, the measurements were obtained every 2 days, totaling a minimum period of 1 month. It means that the response stability may be verified for a longer period of time, and, for this reason, the test performed in this work was called medium-term stability test.

#### 3. Results

The transmission chambers were first tested using the check source device to verify their response stability. Then, they were tested in X-radiation fields and their coefficients of equivalence and response stabilities were obtained.

#### 3.1. Response stability: check source device

The leakage current of both transmission chambers was negligible. The repeatability test was performed several times and the maximum variation obtained was 0.3% and 0.8% for the commercial and homemade transmission chambers, respectively. For the medium-term stability test, the maximum variation obtained was 1.3% and 1.1% for the commercial and homemade chambers, respectively. This result can be seen in Fig. 2.

The results obtained in the medium-term stability test were within the recommended value of  $\pm 2\%$  (IEC, 1997).

#### 3.2. Response stability: X-rays

The response stability tests were also performed using the X-ray unit. The leakage current of the transmission chambers was negligible. The repeatability and medium-term stability tests were performed using the radiation qualities RQR5 and RQA5, presented in Table 1. The maximum variation obtained for the repeatability test was 0.1% and 0.5% for the commercial and



**Fig. 2.** Medium-term stability test of (a) commercial and (b) homemade transmission chambers using a check source device. The uncertainties in the homemade chamber measurements are lower than 0.1%, and they are not visible in the figure.

homemade transmission chambers, respectively. For the medium-term stability test, the maximum variation obtained was 0.7% and 0.8% for the commercial and homemade chambers, respectively. Fig. 3 shows the results obtained for the mediumterm stability test.

The results obtained in the medium-term stability test were within the recommended value of  $\pm 2\%$  (IEC, 1997).

#### 3.3. Coefficients of equivalence

Using the radiation beam qualities listed in Tables 1 and 2, the coefficients of equivalence for both transmission chambers were obtained. Table 3 shows the results for the diagnostic radiology beam qualities and Table 4 shows them for the mammography qualities.

The coefficients of equivalence can be used to calibrate other radiation detectors since they are directly related to the calibration coefficients of the standard ionisation chambers. This procedure may be utilized since these coefficients of equivalence present good stability. As shown in this work, the transmission



**Fig. 3.** Medium-term stability test of the transmission chambers using beam qualities (a) RQR5 and (b) RQA5. The uncertainties in the commercial chamber measurements are lower than 0.2%, and they are not visible in the figure.

 Table 3

 Coefficients of equivalence of the transmission chambers using diagnostic radiology beam qualities (IEC, 2005).

	Commercial chamber	Homemade chamber
Radiation beam quality	Coefficient of equivalence $(x10^4 \text{ Gy C}^{-1})$	Coefficient of equivalence $(x10^3 \text{ Gy C}^{-1})$
RQR3 RQR5 RQR8 RQR10 RQA3 RQA5 RQA5 ROA8	$\begin{array}{c} 11.552\pm 0.095\\ 11.092\pm 0.091\\ 11.203\pm 0.088\\ 11.358\pm 0.096\\ 0.839\pm 0.007\\ 0.443\pm 0.004\\ 0.406+ 0.003 \end{array}$	$76.237 \pm 0.599 71.272 \pm 0.596 66.497 \pm 0.517 57.520 \pm 0.443 5.492 \pm 0.051 2.847 \pm 0.023 2.416 + 0.019$
RQA10	$0.523 \pm 0.004$	$2.692\pm0.021$

chambers of the Calibration Laboratory of IPEN present maximum variations on the response stability of 0.7% and 0.8%. So, in this case, this variation may be considered in the final results and the transmission chamber response stability shall be frequently checked.

**Table 4**Coefficients of equivalence of the transmission chambersusing mammography beam qualities (PTB, 2009).

	Commercial chamber	Homemade chamber
Radiation beam quality	Coefficient of equivalence $(x10^5 \text{ Gy C}^{-1})$	Coefficient of equivalence $(x10^4 \text{ Gy C}^{-1})$
WMV25 WMV28 WMV30 WMV35	$\begin{array}{c} 1.581 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.558 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.543 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.496 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8.274 \pm 0.083 \\ 8.196 \pm 0.078 \\ 8.151 \pm 0.079 \\ 8.029 \pm 0.077 \end{array}$

#### 4. Conclusions

In this work the calibration coefficients of secondary standard ionisation chambers were transferred to two transmission chambers, and coefficients of equivalence were obtained. This transference was adopted to allow the transmission chambers to be used as reference equipment during calibration procedures. The commercial and homemade transmission chambers showed stable responses (0.7% and 0.8%, respectively), within the limits recommended by IEC 61674 (IEC, 1997). The final result of calibration procedures must consider this response variation, and the response of the transmission chamber shall be frequently checked to confirm its stability.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Brazilian agencies CNPq, CAPES, FAPESP and to the Science and Technology Ministry (INCT for Radiation Metrology in Medicine), for the partial financial support.

#### References

International Atomic Energy Agency, 2000. Calibration of radiation protection monitoring instruments. Vienna, SRS 16.

- International Electrotechnical Commission, 2005. Radiation conditions for use in the determination of characteristics. Genève, IEC 61267.
- International Electrotechnical Commission, 1997. Medical electrical equipment Dosimeters with ionization chambers and/or semi-conductor detectors as used in X-ray diagnostic imaging. Genéve, IEC 61674.
- Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt, 2009. Calibration certificate of ionisation chamber RC6M Nuclear Enterprises. Braunschweig.
- Yoshizumi, M.T., Caldas, L.V.E., 2010. Design and assembly of a simple monitor ionization chamber. In: Proceedings of Third European IRPA Congress. Helsinki, June 14–16.