

Applied Radiation and Isotopes 54 (2001) 947-956

Applied Radiation and Isotopes

www.elsevier.com/locate/apradiso

Transfer coefficient measurements of uranium to the organs of Wistar rats, as a function of the uranium content in the food

J.D.T. Arruda-Neto^{a,d,*}, V.P. Likhachev^a, G.P. Nogueira^b, G.W. Araujo^d, S.P. Camargo^d, G.T. Cavalcante^b, A.C. Cestari^d, A.M. Craveiro^b, A. Deppman^a, J.W. Ferreira F^a, F. Garcia^e, L.P. Geraldo^c, F. Guzmán^e, O.M. Helene^a, M.V. Manso^a, M.N. Martins^a, J. Mesa^a, M.F. Oliveira^f, G. Perez^e, O. Rodriguez^e, M.V. Tavares^a, V.R. Vanin^a

> ^a Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, P.O. Box 66318, 05315-970 São Paulo, SP, Brazil ^b Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária, Universidade Estadual Paulista/UNESP, Araçatuba, SP, Brazil ^c Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares, IPEN/CNEN, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. ^d Universidade de Santo Amaro/UNISA, São Paulo, SP, Brazil ^e Instituto Superior de Ciencias y Tecnologia Nucleares, Havana, Cuba ^f Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Brazil

Received 15 January 2000; received in revised form 8 May 2000; accepted 16 June 2000

Abstract

Groups of animals (Wistar rats) were fed with rations doped with uranyl nitrate at concentrations ranging from 0.5 to 100 ppm. The uranium content in the ashes of the organs was measured by the neutron-fission track counting technique. The most striking result is that the transfer coefficients, as a function of the uranium concentration, exhibit a concave shape with a minimum around 20 ppm-U for all organs. Explanations to interpret this finding are tentatively given. \bigcirc 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

From the pathways of entry of radionuclides in the human (or animal) body, ingestion is most important because it is closely related to life-long alimentary (or dietary) habits. Those radionuclides which are able to enter the living cells by either metabolic or other processes give rise to localized doses that can be very high. The evaluation of these internally localized doses are of paramount importance for the assessment of radiobiological risks and radiological protection (Alpen, 1990). As pointed out elsewhere (Karpas et al., 1998), the motivation to study uranium, in particular, is the fact that the daily intake of uranium through food and water may be regarded as chronic ingestion and it is a much more common occurrence than has been generally appreciated, as uranium is normally present in drinking water and in food.

In fact, uranium is a trace constituent in rock phosphate, which is extensively used as a source of phosphorus for fertilizers and livestock feed supplements. Di-calcium phosphate (DCP), for example, a source of calcium used as an animal feeding supplement, can present concentrations of uranium as high as 200 ppm (Arruda-Neto et al., 1997). Additionally, DCP can be produced by mixing phosphoric acid with calcareous rocks, while the phosphoric acid is frequently

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +55-11-8132711; fax: +55-11-8140503.

E-mail address: arruda@if.usp.br (J.D.T. Arruda-Neto).

obtained from rock phosphate by the sulphuric acid process.

Thus, the investigation of the pathway:

uranium(from feeding) \rightarrow anima1 \rightarrow human

is particularly important, as far as the radiological protection of the general population is concerned. In this regard, the calculation of radioisotope burdens requires, as main inputs, the transfer coefficients of radionuclides from the diet of animals, or humans, to their tissues. In fact, once the transfer coefficients are known, and given the concentration of a radionuclide present in the diet, it would be possible to evaluate the content of this radionuclide transferred to the tissues. From this last information, internally localized doses could be estimated.

A transfer coefficient, f, is usually defined as

$$f(d kg^{-1}) = \frac{C(Bq kg^{-1})}{A(Bq d^{-1})},$$
(1)

where C is the uranium content per kg in a given animal tissue, and A is the amount of uranium consumed daily by the animal during its last, and prolonged, feeding period. The amount of uranium can be expressed by its activity (Bq) or by its mass (kg).

The experimental determination of transfer coefficients for uranium is scarce, while data on uptake through ingestion, gastrointestinal (GI) absorption, biokinetics and chemical toxicity, are abundant. There are some published works on these issues (Bhattacharyya et al., 1989; Wrenn et al., 1994; Karpas et al., 1998) but most of the studies so far reported deal with uptake and loss of uranium and their applicability to the determination of occupational exposure. For illustration purposes, we mention the study undertaken by La Touche et al. (1987), where the absorption and biokinetics of uranium were investigated in adult rats (fasted for 12h) following single oral administration of acute dosages of uranyl nitrate solutions. It was pointed out that this mode of ingestion creates a scenario analogous to the human intake via drinking water after an overnight fast.

However, in the case of animals fed e.g. with DCP as feed supplement (as in the breeding of cattle, chicken, sheep, etc.), we have the following distinct characteristics:

- (a) the animals initiate ingestion of uranium (from DCP) immediately after weaning, but could be receiving uranium through the milk;
- (b) this ingestion of uranium persists until the sacrifice of the animals, following closely, thus, the important stages of its somatic development, and
- (c) since food is not withheld until sacrifice, it is an open issue as to what extent the uranium absorption is reduced because the animals have food in their upper GI tract.

Then, the key information of interest to humans, which are consumers of animal products since their childhood, is the content of uranium transferred to the tissues of animals. From this set of data it would be possible to

- (1) evaluate the uranium ingestion by humans from animal products, given their dietary habits; and
- (2) estimate the content of uranium transferred to organs, and milk of humans, using suitable and validated models, thus allowing the evaluation of internally localized doses.

It should be pointed out, moreover, that, since transfer coefficients are dependent on the type, amount and chemical characteristics of the form of the contaminant; on the animal species, sex, age, and on some physiological conditions, as well, it is crucial to measure these coefficients as accurately as possible, because they are needed for refinement and validation of biokinetics models. These models are quite useful for the study of radionuclides transport in biological systems (Garcia et al., 1999).

Needless to say how important such a kind of study can be. In the present work, therefore, we report on an investigation of a long-lasting uranium ingestion from food, starting after weaning, and lasting until the animal maturity. The mode and time interval of ingestion, in this case, simulate a common real-life scenario, which is valid both for animals and humans. In this sense, the goals of this work are

- (i) measurement of the uranium content in organs of animals (Wistar rats);
- (ii) the determination of such uranium content as a function of the uranium concentration present in the administered food; and
- (iii) observation of possible toxicity-related effects, as e.g. renal failure (the most serious one) and behavior alterations as a function, also, of the uranium concentration in the food. There are reports that uranyl nitrate is one of the most toxic U compounds, and that it has often been used in experimental modeling of renal failure (Haley, 1982; Pelayo et al., 1983).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Fifteen male Wistar rats, 15 days old and weighing approximately 25–30 g, were housed in 7 metabolic cages, forming 6 groups with 2 animals each and one group, the "control group", with 3 animals. The animals were maintained under natural photoperiod, and fed with rat chow enriched with (per kg): 12 mg of calcium,

10 mg of iron, 60 mg of manganese, 2 mg of copper, 50 mg of zinc, 2 mg of iodine, 0.1 mg of selenium and 10 mg of anti-oxidizing.

Uranyl nitrate was mixed to the food of the 6 groups (with 2 rats each), with the following concentrations (in parts per million): 0.5, 2, 10, 20, 50 and 100 ppm. The animals were sacrificed after an experimental period of 60 days when they reached maturity.

The following procedures and tasks were carried out during the experiment:

- (1) daily control and measurement of the amount of ingested food and animals weight;
- (2) urine and feces were collected and analyzed, intending the control of occult blood, pH, protein concentration, etc.;
- (3) frequent evaluation of the animals, aiming at the observation of possible clinical variations.

After sacrifice, the animals were dissected, their organs were weighed, wrapped in aluminum foils, and kept at -20° C until processing, which consisted of incineration using a muffle furnace at $\sim 550^{\circ}$ C. The organs of the animals were combined in pairs, except for the control group (3 animals), and incinerated together. For a given group of animals, thus, the obtained amount of ashes corresponds to material from two animals (and 3 for the control group).

2.2. Quantification of the uranium on the biological material

Ashes of kidneys, liver, heart, testicles, brain, intestine, and skin, were dissolved in nitric acid at 50%. Fractions of these solutions were deposited on foils of Makrofol E (Bayer), 100 μ m thick, circular shape with 2 cm of diameter. After evaporation of the liquid phase, a second Makrofol-biological material–Makrofol. Sets of 10 piled up "sandwiches", wrapped with tin aluminum foils, were placed in the interior of "rabbits" (aluminum cylinders, 22 mm in diameter and 70 mm high). "Sandwiches" prepared with standard solutions of uranyl nitrate were placed in the edges and in the center of each "rabbit". The "rabbits" were sealed by soldering a cap on each. Possible air leaking was checked with a solution of glycerin at 150°C.

The "rabbits" were positioned near the core of the IPEN reactor (IEA-R1, 4MW, pool type) — see Fig. 1. The thermal neutron flux at the irradiation position $(1.18(7) \times 10^{13} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ s})$ was monitored by means of the gold foils activation technique (Geraldo et al., 1992, and Maidana et al., 1994). Contributions from epithermal neutrons $[3.52(22) \times 10^{12} \text{ n/cm}^2 \text{ s}]$ were checked too, via irradiation of additional gold foils wrapped with cadmium foils (1 mm thick).



Fig. 1. Core configuration of the IAE–R1 reactor and position of sample irradiation.

Irradiation time (8 min for all samples) was chosen from simulations, to allow control on possible radiation damage in the Makrofol foils. These were etched by a solution of KOH, and the tracks from the neutroninduced fission of uranium were counted in a projection optical microscope. The comparison of these results with those from the standard solutions of uranyl nitrate allowed the determination of the uranium content of the biological samples.

3. Results and discussion

We would like to emphasize that in this experiment the animals were submitted to a long-lasting daily diet with uranium, initiated immediately after weaning and lasting till maturity. Therefore, contrary to the experiments where animals are submitted to single doses (as e.g. in La Touche et al., 1987), two animals by group are adequate since the measured quantities, uranium content in the organs, correspond to the uranium absorption rates integrated in a long period of time. Thus, possible metabolic fluctuations are averaged out in time, while in single-dose experiments, the average is achieved by using a larger number of animals. This can be assessed by a mere visual inspection of typical results on transfer coefficients, plotted as a function of time (see e.g. Fig. 3 in Assimakopoulos et al., 1993), where after a prolonged feeding period the amount of transferred radioactive material saturates.

The transfer coefficient, as defined by Eq. 1, is

$$f = \frac{C}{A},\tag{3.1}$$

where, now, we put C = k/p; k is the amount of uranium we measured for each organ, and p is its weight. A is the average amount of uranium intake daily by the animals in the last 15 days of the experiment. All parameters and results for the group of animals fed with 100 ppm of uranium are in Table 1, while in Fig. 2 the transfer coefficients are plotted as a function of the uranium concentration (in ppm) present in the animals diet.

The results from the 0.5 ppm-U group were discarded because they are not conclusive, in the sense that the amounts of uranium transferred to the organs (k) are very small, giving rise to a poor counting statistics (large uncertainties). Nevertheless, it is possible to say that the amounts of transferred uranium, observed for this group of animals (0.5 ppm-U), are as small as those observed in the control group (zero ppm-U).

The U-intake parameter A, ranging from 2 to 100 ppm-U/day, corresponds to $\sim 0.1-5.2$ mg-U per animal per day, or, ~ 0.5 to 26 mg-U/kg of animal body weight per day.

Other results and observations, related to clinical evaluation and urine/feces examination, are presented below with the discussion.

3.1. Overall and common characteristics

One distinct and common feature of the transfer coefficients f, in the full 2–100 ppm-U range, is their concave shape, with a minimum around 20 ppm for all organs (less apparent only for the intestine — see Fig. 2). When observed individually, the data sets between 2 and 100 ppm-U exhibit, for all organs, a smooth trend within the experimental uncertainties; some fluctuations are visible at the low-ppm region only for liver and kidneys. This smooth trend could be indicating that possible metabolic fluctuations were averaged out, as discussed above, because statistical fluctuations associated with

the measurement itself should be small (the fission track technique is quite precise).

These somewhat unexpected findings could indicate that metabolic aspects do not play a major role, since the transfer coefficients for all organs show the same dependence on the amount of ingested uranium. From a microscopic point of view, our results also suggest that structurally different cells, from different tissues, would absorb uranium by the same mechanism.



Fig. 2. Transfer coefficients (this work) of rat tissues, as a function of the uranium concentration (ppm) in the animals food. The lines (dashed and solid) are only to guide the eyes.

Table 1

Uranium intake results for the group of animals fed with 100 ppm of U in the food (see Eq. (1))

Organ	p (kg)	k (g)	$A (g d^{-1})$	f (d kg ⁻¹)
Kidney	$1.63(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$16(6) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	188(73)
Liver	$21.79(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$35.8(41) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	31.6(47)
Intestine	$20.12(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$3.3(6) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	3.2(6)
Heart	$1.76(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$1.5(3) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	16(4)
Skin	$31.04(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$2.6(8) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	1.6(5)
Testicle	$8.33(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$0.42(11) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	0.93(25)
Brain	$1.37(5) \times 10^{-3}$	$0.22(3) \times 10^{-4}$	$5.19(8) \times 10^{-3}$	3.2(5)

It is clear from Fig. 2 that the absorption of uranium, as a function of intake (A), proceeds through two different regimes, taking place in the ranges: 2-20 ppm-U (f decreasing) and 20-100 ppm-U (f increasing). These two cases are now discussed separately.

3.2. Group of animals that received 2-20 ppm of U and showed f decreasing

This behavior of f could be an indication for an inverted intake/uptake relationship.

In fact, an inverse relationship between the amount of ingested low-levels of uranium, and the uptake factor, has been proposed elsewhere (Leggett and Harrison, 1995; Karpas et al., 1998). Thus, if there is indeed an inverse relation between uranium intake (represented by A in our case — Eq. (1)) and uptake (from intestine into the blood stream, and from there to the organs), this could indicate that uranium does fulfill some role in the body biochemistry, or that its behavior is typical of an essential element, or even that it mimics some essential element such as calcium. In this scenario, the amount of uranium content per kilogram of organ, C = C(A), should be a nearly constant function of A (the intake parameter); therefore, the ratio C/A (which represents f and is nearly proportional to the uptake factor) would be a decreasing function of A, as observed in the present work. A few representative examples are shown in Fig. 3. We note that in the 2-20 ppm-U range, the slopes of C = C(A) for e.g. skin and heart are very small comparated with the slope of the dose (intake), while for A > 20 ppm-U the slopes of C = C(A) are similar to that of the intake. The only exception is verified for the intestine - this is a salient issue discussed below.

Therefore, our findings are compatible with some sort of "uranium essentiality" up to an intake of ~20 ppm-U (~5 mg-U per kilogram of body weight per day). Above A = 20 ppm-U a dramatic increase of the C = C(A) slopes is observed (discussed in the next section).

3.3. Group of animals that received 20 to 100 ppm of U and showed f increasing

The sudden change of regime observed at the "turning point" A = 20 ppm-U, for all organs other than intestine, indicates that the cause is extra-organ and, much more important, that this "cause" is common to all organs (they all have the same "turning point"). The most plausible explanation for this intriguing behavior of f, for A > 20 ppm-U, surprisingly came from urine analysis results. Occult blood was detected in the urine of the animals pertaining to the groups that received 20, 50 and 100 ppm of uranium in the food, all during the last one-third period of the experiment. This could be an indication that kidney malfunctions are taking place (other details in Section 3.5).



As pointed out elsewhere (La Touche et al., 1987), the kidneys seem to operate as a transient collecting point for uranium, removing it from the circulation rather than storing it. Thus, the increasing character of f, from 20 to 100 ppm-U, may possibly reflect a gradually increasing inability of the kidneys to remove uranium from the blood. Since there is a well-known correlation between the contents of radioactive materials in the blood and in the organs, it is very likely that our observations for A > 20 ppm-U, as expressed by the f-curves (Fig. 2) or, more dramatically, by the functions C = C(A) in Fig. 3, are directly related to the enhancement of the uranium concentration in the blood. Therefore, toxicology related malfunction of the kidneys, is an important point to be considered in the evaluation of radiobiological harms caused by prolonged ingestion of uranium. However, kidney malfunction is not the only mechanism by which enhancement of uranium in the blood occurs, as addressed next.

The absorption of uranium in rats, following single oral gavage with uranyl nitrate, was investigated by La Touche et al. (1987) at gavage levels ranging from 0.003 to 45 mg-U/kg of body weight. Uranium tissue burdens were determined at 0.25 to 240 h following gavage. In order to allow a quantitative comparison with our results, we converted those from La Touche et al. (1987), for intestine, to units of transfer coefficients, namely, $d kg^{-1}$. However, since the intake took place from a single oral gavage, these are differential quantities (represented here by f_N and shown in Fig. 4) corresponding to a single day feeding of an adult male Wistar rat. On the other hand, the transfer coefficients we measured could be interpreted as an integral of f_N in a prolonged (60 days) feeding period, but by considering only the residual loss component of f_N , which, e.g., for

25

20

15

10

C (µg / g)

▲ SKIN (x10)

• HEART



20

10

the large intestine, is verified for $t \ge 50$ h (t is the postgavage time).

In our plot of La Touche et al. (1987) results we added the data of both small and large intestines. We note that the residual loss component for the dosage of 3 mg-U/kg (curve-1 in Fig. 4), is substantially higher than that for 30 mg-U/kg (curve-2 in Fig. 4). The piling up effect of several f_N residual loss components, corresponding to several days intake of these dosages, should provide integral transfer coefficients similar to those we measured, although some saturation could spoil the linearity of this adding effect. As illustrated in Fig. 4, our results of intestine, for ~3 and 30 mg-U/kg intake (shaded bands), are in qualitative agreement with those from La Touche et al., 1987 (that is, f(1) > f(2)). By taking the average of f_N between 60 and 100 h, $\langle f_N \rangle$, we found out that

$$f(1) \approx 40 \langle f_N(1) \rangle, \tag{3.2}$$

$$f(2) \approx 30 \langle f_N(2) \rangle,$$
 (3.3)

which is quite compatible with the "piling up effect" mentioned above (it is important to remember that this



Fig. 4. Transfer coefficients of rat intestines, as a function of post-gavage time (data points connected by curves 1 and 2); adapted from La Touche et al. (1987) (details in the text — p. 7). Shaded bands : this work. The curve 2 reaches the data point $f_N = 0.026$ (d kg⁻¹) at t = 96 h, not shown in this graphic but indicated by an arrow.

experiment took 60 days, and started immediately after weaning).

According to La Touche et al. (1987) and also supported by our findings, the fact that $f_N(1) > f_N(2)$ many hours after gavage, which is also supported by our findings, could be an indication that GI absorption is more efficient at higher U-concentrations. As a consequence, uranium burden in blood should be higher, which also contributes to the increase of f in all organs (from 20 to 100 ppm-U) as observed in the present work.

3.4. Tissues peculiarities

From the data set displayed in Fig. 2, it becomes clear that the most evident differences among the majority of the tissues are related to the magnitudes of their transfer coefficients.

As discussed above for the 20–100 ppm-U region, the increasing character of f is determined by the equally increasing uranium content in the blood. Therefore, it could be argued if the different f magnitudes would possibly be correlated to the variations of the blood flux in the tissues. We show in Table 2, in this regard, some data on the percentage of the cardiac output in rats (Petty, 1982), as well as these same data normalized to the masses of the tissues, that is, percentage of the cardiac output per gram of tissue, C_N (expressed as $\frac{9}{g}^{-1}$).

We present in Fig. 5, a scatter plot of f (for 100 ppm-U) with its corresponding normalized cardiac output (C_N) . The straight line was obtained from a linear regression. The correlation coefficient (R) is equal to 0.984, and the confidence coefficient (P) is <0.0001. Such a strong correlation between f and C_N is, however, questionable. In fact, a mere visual inspection of Fig. 5 reveals that the correlation is solely driven by the point associated with the kidneys. By performing the linear regression without the point for kidneys we obtained R = 0.255 and P = 0.626, that is, no correlation is verified (Fig. 6). Also shown in Fig. 6 are the points for

Table 2

Percentage of the cardiac output per gram (C_N) to some organs of rats according to Petty (1982)

Organ	%	$C_N(\% \text{ g}^{-1})^{\text{a}}$
Kidneys	15 ± 3.9	9.2 ± 2.4
Liver	12 ± 2.8	0.55 ± 0.13
Skin	10 ± 1.2	0.32 ± 0.04
Intestine (average) ^b	3 ± 0.5	0.15 ± 0.03
Heart	2 ± 0.5	1.14 ± 0.28
Brain	1.7 (sic)	1.24
Testicles	0.78 (sic)	0.094

^a Percentage of the cardiac output per gram of organ.

^bAverage of large and small intestines.



Fig. 5. Scatter plot of transfer coefficients (f) for some tissues, including the kidneys, against the normalized percentage of cardiac output received by each tissue (% per g). The straight solid line was obtained by linear regression ($\mathbf{R} = 0.984$ and $\mathbf{P} < 0.0001$). Intake $\mathbf{A} = 100$ ppm-U.



Fig. 6. Scatter plot of transfer coefficients (f) for some tissues excluding the kidneys, against the normalized percentage of cardiac output received by each tissue (% per g). The straight line was fitted to the points for A = 100 ppm-U (R = 0.255 and P = 0.626). The letters drawn close to the points represent the initials of the organs (see organs listing in Table 1 or Table 2). The lines connecting the points are only to guide the eyes.

the intake of 50 ppm-U. The two sets of points, for 100 and 50 ppm-U, differ from each other only by their magnitudes, while all the structures are at the same positions. Therefore, it is very likely that these structures do not represent statistical fluctuations. A stringent test supporting such a possibility is provided by the scatter plot shown in Fig. 7, where a strong correlation between



Fig. 7. Scatter plot for f (50 ppm-U) against f (100 ppm-U). The straight line was obtained by linear fit ($\mathbf{R} = 0.966$ and $\mathbf{P} = 3.93 \times 10^{-4}$).

f (100 ppm) and f (50 ppm) is verified. In fact, from the linear fit we got $\mathbf{R} = 0.966$ and $\mathbf{P} = 3.93 \times 10^{-4}$.

The data displayed in Fig. 6 are quite revealing, in the sense that they indicate the existence of accentuated peculiarities among the tissues, as regarding the amount of transferred uranium (that is, the uranium biokinetics). We note, for example, that f (liver) > f (heart), but C_N (liver) < C_N (heart), indicating that the balance between deposited uranium and removed uranium, by the blood, is higher for the liver than with the heart. Some results quite recently reported by Karpas et al. (1998), indicate that uranium absorbed through the intestine interchanges with uranium retained in the organs.

The whole picture seems to be now quite clear, namely: while the increasing uranium burden in the blood explains the increasing character of f (from 20 to 100 ppm-U), for all tissues other than intestine, the peculiarities of the uranium biokinetics, from tissue to tissue, determine the different magnitudes of f. There are, however, second-order mechanisms by which uranium distribution could be facilitated, as e.g. the capillary permeability. It is a well-known fact that the kidneys and the liver present the highest capillary permeability (Guyton and Hall, 1996). In this case, large-size molecules could be transferred from the blood to the kidneys and liver much more easily, as compared with the other tissues. If uranium is transported by the blood attached to some protein, it would be expected to obtain higher transfer coefficients for the kidneys and the liver compared to the other tissues. Incidentally, our results show that the transfer coefficients f for the liver and kidneys are one and two orders of magnitude higher than an average of f (taken over all the other tissues), respectively. We believe it is worthwhile pursuing, in further investigations, this and other issues addressed in this work, on the grounds of the arguments outlined above.

Finally, we did not find in literature data about uranium transfer coefficients for rat tissues, measured with the same experimental conditions as in the present work. Comparison with data obtained with other species could be useless, given the great biological variability, but order of magnitude cross-check could make sense. Thus, we mention in passing the case of ²³⁸U and ²¹⁰Po transfer from chickens diet to its meat in the low concentration range, namely (Izak-Biran et al., 1989)

$$f(^{238}\text{U}) \approx 1.2 \text{ d kg}^{-1}$$
, and

$$f(^{210}\text{Po}) \approx (2.4 \pm 1.6) \text{ d kg}^{-1}$$
.

For rat skin at the low ppm-U region we got $f \approx 2.2 \,\mathrm{d\,kg^{-1}}$.

3.5. Toxicology related observations

The urine of the rats, from the groups fed with 50 and 100 ppm-U concentrations in the last 15 days of the experiment, was darker than that from the animals of the other groups. However, the laboratorial examination revealed that pH, protein concentrations, cetonic bodies, nitrite, bilirubin and urobilinogen, in all animals, ranged within the normal parameters for rats, in all the animals. On the other hand, the animals from the two groups of higher doses exhibited more aggressiveness.

The urine of the animals, from the groups fed with 20, 50 and 100 ppm-U in the last one-third period of the experiment, showed blood: 50 red blood cells/ μ l, approximately. This blood seems to reflect, probably, a renal tubular lesion, which caused exposition of the tubular lumen to peritubular capillaries allowing, thus, some blood to come into the renal tubule and then to the urine.

We did not observe any severe toxicity effect, which manifests itself, primarily, by a reduction of animals weight. In fact, the gain of weight in all animals, in the whole period of the experiment, was compatible with the normal development of Wistar rats.

The peculiarities of this experiment, as e.g. the prolonged duration, prevents its direct comparison with most of the results found in the literature. Notwithstanding, there is a number of results on acute renal failure (ARF) induced by uranyl nitrate, at dosages of 10 mg/kg of body weight; this is, approximately, the daily intake of the animals from the 50 ppm-U group of the present experiment. For example, Haley (1982) reported sequential changes in renal morphology, occurring for five subsequent days after a subcutaneous injection of uranyl nitrate (10 mg/kg body wt.), both in saline- and water-drinking rats (Sprague–Dawley males). It was revealed, by scanning electron microscopy, abnormalities in glomerular epithelial cells similar to those seen in humans with chronic renal disease. Although, in our case, uranyl nitrate was administered mixed with the food, which could result in a relatively slow development of tubular necrosis, we stress the fact that the animals starting the experiment were very young. We refer the reader, in this regard, to the work of Pelayo et al. (1983), where the acute renal toxicity of uranyl nitrate in canine puppies (10 mg/kg body wt) was investigated. Morphologic alterations, consistent with the nephrotoxic effects of the heavy metal (uranium), were observed in the proximal tubules of the most differentiated nephrons.

Although the present study does not permit us to characterize the degree of ARF, one could certainly speculate that the correlation between the increasing character of f, and the observation of blood in urine for intake ≥ 20 ppm-U (see discussion above), is a convincing indication of kidney malfunction.

4. Conclusions

We believe it is worthwhile presenting a survey of all the most relevant information, arguments, etc., contained in this paper, by assembling them in the following categories: plain facts (from this work and from literature), inferred conclusions and presumptions.

4.1. Plain facts

4.1.1. From this work

- (1a) Remarkable characteristics of the data points (Fig. 2): smooth sequence and small fluctuations only at the very low ppm-region. Two orders of magnitude differences from kidneys to testicles.
- (2a) The *f*-curves for ALL ORGANS exhibit concave shapes, with minima at 20 ppm-U (except for intestine). There are, therefore, two distinct components: from 2 to 20 ppm-U (*f*-comp.1) and from 20 to 100 ppm-U (*f*-comp.2).
- (3a) The uranium concentration functions C = C(A), Fig. 3, have small slopes from 2 to 20 ppm-U, and they increase steeply from 20 to 100 ppm-U (except for intestine).
- (4a) f (intestine) is an ever decreasing function in the entire ppm range.
- (5a) Blood was found in the urine of the animals from the groups of 20, 50 and 100 ppm-U (*f*-comp.2 range).

4.1.2. From literature

(1b) Kidneys remove uranium from the blood. Thus, kidney malfunction results in the enhancement of uranium concentration in the blood.

- (2b) Blood flux intensities change appreciably from organ to organ (Table 2).
- (3b) Capillaries in the kidneys and liver are much more permeable.
- (4b) f (low-U) > f (high-U) for intestine, indicating that GI absorption is more efficient at higher uranium intake concentrations.
- (5b) A possible signature for the "essentiality" of uranium is the inverted intake/uptake relationship: f decreasing, or, C = C(A) constant or nearly constant.
- (6b) Uranium is transported to, or removed from, the tissues through the blood.

4.2. Inferred conclusions

- (1) Uranium is essential, and/or it mimics some essential element (as calcium) : inferred from 3a, 5b and 2a (*f*-comp.1)
- (2) f-comp.2: would reflect the gradual enhancement of the uranium concentration in the blood stream, caused by an equally increasing inability of the kidneys to remove uranium: inferred from 2a, 5a, 1b and 6b.
- (3) The uranium content of organs results from a "piling up effect" of residual uranium, following many daily intakes, as verified for intestine: inferred from comparison with literature (Fig. 4) and calculation (expressions 2 and 3).
- (4) Magnitudes of *f* are not correlated with blood flux intensity: inferred from Table 2 and Fig. 6.

4.3. Presumptions

- (1) Metabolical and physiological aspects of the organs seem to play no major role in the uranium transfer process, as a function of the intake (*f*-curves with the same shape).
- (2) The biokinetics of uranium strongly varies from organ to organ, which explains the equally strong variation of f from organ to organ.
- (3) The higher magnitudes of *f* in the kidneys and in the liver, plus the greater capillary permeability of these tissues, would indicate that uranium is transported by the blood attached to a large-size molecule (maybe some protein).

5. Final remarks

It is clear, on one hand, that short-term investigations involving a small number of animals could yield misleading estimates of e.g. mean GI absorption, material concentrations in organs, etc., due to sizable inter- and intra-animal variability in intake, uptake, excretion and interchange between blood and systemic deposits in bone and other tissues.

The present work, on the other hand, refers to a longterm observation, where the measured quantities (transfer coefficients) resulted from time integrated effects (see Fig. 4 and expressions 2 and 3). Very important, in this regard, is the fact that we used animals just after weaning. In this case, it is very likely that the young animals were often positive uranium balance (between uptake and excretion) due to a buildup of uranium in the growing skeleton. Moreover, the GI tract of newborn animals is orders of magnitude more permeable to a number of radionuclides, including U, than is that of the adult (see Sullivan and Gorham, 1982).

As pointed out elsewhere (La Touche et al., 1987), the results of rat studies may be used to infer human absorption of uranium. Additionally, the conditions of our experiment simulate a real human life scenario, associated with prolonged intake of uranium contained in food.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by FAPESP, CNPq and FINEP, Brazilian agencies, the Latin American Physics Center/CLAF, and the International Center for Theoretical Physics/ICTP (Trieste, Italy).

References

- Alpen, E.L., 1990. Radiation Biophysics, 1st Edition. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Arruda-Neto, J.D.T., Tavares, M.V., Filadelfo, M., 1997. Concentrations of uranium in animal feed supplements: Measurements and dose estimates. J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 221, 97–104.
- Assimakopoulos, P.A., Ioannides, K.G., Pakou, A.A., Mantzios, A.S., Pappas, C.P., 1993. Transport of radiocaesium from a sheep's diet to its tissues. Sci. Total Environ. 136, 1–11.
- Bhattacharyya, M.H., Larsen, R.P., Cohen, N., Ralston, L.G., Moretti, E.S., Oldham, R.D., Ayres, L., 1989. Gastrointestinal absorption of plutonium and uranium in fed and fasted adult baboons and mice: application to humans. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. 26, 159–165.
- Garcia, F., Arruda-Neto, J.D.T., Manso, M.V., Helene, O.M. et al., 1999. A new statistical method for transfer coefficient calculations in the framework of the General Multiple-Compartment Model of transport for radionuclides in biological systems. Phys. Med. Biol. 44, 2451–2462.
- Geraldo, L.P., Dias, M.S., Koskinas, M.F., 1992. Average neutron cross section measurements in U-235 fission spectrum for some threshold reactions. Radiochim. Acta 57, 63–67.
- Guyton, A.C., Hall, J.E., 1996. Textbook of Medical Physiology, 9th Edition. W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia.

- Haley, D.P., 1982. Morphologic changes in uranyl nitrateinduced acute renal failure in saline — and water — drinking rats. Lab. Invest. 46, 196–208.
- Izak-Biran, T., Schlesinger, T., Weingarted, R., Even, O., Shamai, Y., Israeli, M., 1989. Concentrations of U and Po in animal feed supplements, in poultry meat and in eggs. Health Phys. 56, 315–319.
- Karpas, Z., Lorber, A., Elish, E., Kol, R., Roiz, Y., Marko, R., Katorza, E., Halicz, L., Riondato, J., Vanhaecke, F., Moens, L., 1998. Uptake of ingested uranium after low "acute intake". Health Phys. 74, 337–345.
- La Touche, Y.D., Willis, D.L., Dawydiak, O.I., 1987. Absorption and biokinetics of U in rats following an oral administration of uranyl nitrate solution. Health Phys. 53, 147–162.
- Leggett, R.W., Harrison, J.D., 1995. Fractional absorption of ingested uranium in humans. Health Phys. 68, 484–498.

- Maidana, N.L., Dias, M.S., Geraldo, L.P., 1994. Measurements of U-235 fission neutron spectrum averaged cross sections for threshold reactions. Radiochim. Acta 64, 7–9.
- Pelayo, J.C., Andrews, P.M., Coffey, A.K., Calcagno, P.L., Eisner, G.M., Jose, P.A., 1983. The influence of age on acute renal toxicity of uranyl nitrate in the dog. Pediatric Res. 17, 985–992.
- Petty, C., 1982. In: Research Techniques in the Rat. Charles C. Thomas Publisher, Springfield, IL, pp. 368.
- Sullivan, M.F., Gorcham, L.S., 1982. Further studies on the absorption of actinide elements from the gastro-intestinal tract of neonatal animals. Health Phys. 43, 509–519.
- Wrenn, M.E., Bertelli, L., Durbin, P.W., Singh, N.P., Lipsztein, J.L., Eckerman, K.F., 1994. A comprehensive metabolic model for uranium metabolism and dosimetry based on human and animal data. Radiat. Prot. Dosim. 53, 255–258.