

SHELF-LIFE OF PAPAYA NECTAR SUBMITTED TO IONIZING RADIATION

Marcia N. C. Harder^{1,2,3}, Tatiane de Andrade³, Fernanda M. Reis³, Natália M. Feitosa³, Pâmela Pereira³, Suzane P. Roberto³, Simone R. Oliveira^{2,4} and Valter Arthur^{2,5}

¹ Departamento de Engenharia Agrônômica
Faculdade de Tecnologia de Piracicaba - FATEP
Av. Rio Claro, 290
13414-048 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
marcia.harder@fateppiracicaba.edu.br

² Instituto de Pesquisas Energéticas e Nucleares (IPEN / CNEN - SP)
Av. Professor Lineu Prestes 2242
05508-000 São Paulo, SP, Brazil
mnharder@terra.com.br

³ Faculdade de Tecnologia de Piracicaba – Fatec “Dep. Roque Trevisan”
Av. Diácono Jair de Oliveira, 651
13414-155 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
marcia.harder@fatec.sp.gov.br
tatiane.andrade500@gmail.com

⁴ Instituto de Zootecnia – APTA
Estrada do Meio, 1500
13412-050 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
simone@iz.sp.gov.br

⁵ Departamento de Radiobiologia e Ambiente
Centro de energia Nuclear na Agricultura (CENA/USP)
Av. Centenário, 303
13400-980 Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
arthur@cena.usp.br

ABSTRACT

A kind of the consumption forms of drinks presentation that has grown and appreciated for the most people is the nectar. The papaya nectar had recognized as a differentiated form of this fruit, where the industry also gains in time of conservation, since the papaya is an extremely perishable fruit. The aim of this study was to evaluate gamma radiation as a way of conserving papaya nectar. Papaya nectar was developed and subjected to gamma radiation at the dose of 1kGy in a dose tax of 0.356kGy.hour-1 and compared this treatment with the control (0kGy). According to the results obtained, can be concluded that the dose used was adequate for the conservation of this product for the period evaluated reaching the aim.

1. INTRODUCTION

Papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) is a tropical fruit of varied sizes and shapes; with smooth and thin peel and yellow color; thick pulp, yellow, orange, red or purple in selected lines; with soft, fine, sweet flesh, very pleasant and digestive. Inside the fruit, there is a large number of seeds of external brown or black color, round, wrinkled and covered by an outer layer of succulent, the sarcotesta, followed by a hard inner layer, the sclerotesta [1].

The production of papaya has been standing out among the different tropical fruits. The main producers are Brazil, Mexico, Nigeria, India and Indonesia. However, high production still faces problems such as deficiency in storage and transportation, especially in the post-harvest period, which promotes increased losses [2].

The papaya is a fruit of a fragile nature, being the target of several post-harvest diseases, as well as the ease of suffering damage due to its thin bark. Furthermore, it is a fruit that does not withstand low temperatures, increasing the difficulties in its storage [3].

The fruit processing becomes an interesting option to minimize this situation, because when elaborating pulps; juices and/or nectars applying conservation treatments and a suitable packaging, it increases the shelf life of the product, besides unifying the facilitate storage, distribution and consumption [2].

Some studies have shown an increase in the consumption of juices and nectars in recent years, due to factors such as practicality, nutritional value and health concern, there is still a shortage of papaya nectar in the market. However, due to the diversity of fruits in the country and cultural miscegenation, there is demand for diversified flavors [4].

Nectar is a sweet drink non-alcoholic and non-fermented base of fruit juice in which the concentration of the pulp is at least 20 to 30% depending of the fruit [5].

For MAPA (Ministry of Agriculture Livestock and Food Supply) nectars are considered non-fermented, non-alcoholic, consisting of juice or pulp, ranging from 25 to 50%, added water, sugar and optionally acids, intended for direct consumption. According to the same governmental entity this kind of beverage that is an unfermented, non-concentrated and undiluted drink (excepted specific cases), obtained from the ripe and healthy fruit or vegetable part of origin, by means of an appropriate technological process of color, aroma and flavor characteristic of the fruit, submitted to treatment that ensures its preservation and presentation until the moment of consumption [6].

By definition, papaya nectar is an unfermented beverage obtained from the dissolution in drinking water of the edible part of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) and sugars, intended for direct consumption and may be added to acids. To be characterized as such, the beverage should meet the following minimum parameters: 35% juice or pulp, 10° Brix solids soluble at 20° C, 6% total sugars and 0.10% acidity in citric acid [2].

The Brazilian law defined the papaya nectar as a product defined in art. 18 of Decree No. 6,871, 2009, obtained from the edible part of the papaya (*Carica papaya* L.), by means of an appropriate technological process [7].

Irradiation is an excellent method of food conservation, as well as reinforces the action of other applied processes for the same purpose. Irradiation satisfies completely the objectives of giving food nutritional stability; sanitary conditions and along shelf life [8, 9].

In a research, Harder et al. (2009) concluded that the irradiation did not induce significant alterations in the physicochemical and sensorial characteristics of kiwi nectar, with the exception for total ascorbic acid at doses of 1.0 and 2.0 kGy [9].

The shelf life definition is derived from stability studies that provide evidence of how the quality of a product varies over time under the influence of various factors such as microbial contamination or physicochemical instability [10].

Because of this, the aim of this work was to evaluate the shelf life period of irradiated papaya nectar by changes in microbiologic and physicochemical quality attributes.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Nectar preparation

The papaya used for the experiment was obtained in the local commerce of Piracicaba.

The fruits were forwarded at Food Technology laboratory at Fatec Piracicaba and which before the despoliation occurred the sanitization of the fruit, then undergoing the process of cutting and removing the inedible parts and taken to the blender, where it was processed until homogeneous.

And after, for the preparation of the papaya nectar, 1.572 kg of papaya pulp was used and mixed with water and 12% of sugar, adapted of [11].

Then the nectar was bottled in sterilized glass bottles (150 ml) in boiling water and the vessel was subjected to steam exhaustion to keep the vessels hermetically sealed and subjected to vacuum.

2.2. Nectar irradiation

The papaya nectar samples were submitted to gamma radiation by the used of the Gammacell 220 Excel irradiator, MDS, Nordion, by Cobalt 60 source (^{60}Co), located at the Center for Nuclear Energy in Agriculture (CENA/USP) was used to treat the samples. The doses 0 and 1kGy were used, under a dose rate of $0.712\text{kGy}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$.

Dosimetry was performed using 5-mm-diameter alanine dosimeters (Bruker Instruments, Rheinstetten, Germany), and the free radical signal was measured with Bruker EMS 104 EPR Analyzer.

The actual dose was within 0.02 of the target dose. Samples were turned 360° continuously during the irradiation process to achieve uniform target doses and the non-irradiated control was placed outside the irradiation chamber to have the same environmental temperature effect as that of their radiated sample.

The samples were analyzed at 2 different times 0 and 120 days after irradiation with (60Co).

Both analysis were carried out according to normative instruction no. 37/2018 and RDC 12/2001 [7, 12].

2.3. Counting of mold and yeast

The counts of molds and yeasts were carried out using growing medium sabouraud agar and incubation at 21° C for 3 to 5 days [13].

2.4. Determination of coliform group (MPN)

For the MPN (most probable number) determination, was used a fermentation method in multiple tubes. In the first series of 3 tubes of lactose broth 1 ml of the 10-1 dilution was inoculated and in the second and third series 1 ml of the dilutions 10-2 and 10-3, respectively.

The tubes were incubated at 35° C for 48 hours. Tubes with positive results should be inoculated in lactose broth - bright green-bile 2% and incubated at 35° C for 48 hours. The determination of the MPN of coliform bacteria was made from the number of positive tubes with the aid of the Hoskins Table [13].

2.5. Physicochemical analysis

Analyzes of pH, total acidity and content of soluble solids were performed according to the AOAC methodology (1995) [14].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the results data obtained in the MPN analysis of the papaya nectar samples with increasing doses of gamma radiation (60Co).

Table 1: Results of coliform bacteria (MPN) and total counting mold and yeast of papaya nectar sample

Dose (kGy)	Coliform (MNP)	Total mold and yeast (CFU)
Time 0		
0 kGy (Control)	1.0×10^{-1}	Uncountable
1 kGy	Analysis error	Uncountable
Time 120 days		
0 kGy (Control)	Deteriorated sample	Deteriorated sample
1 kGy	$< 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$	1 CFU

During the initial analysis of time 0, probably should have occurred a contamination in the inoculation of the sample submitted to the treatment of gamma radiation, promoting a false positive, which did not remain throughout the evaluation process, the last sample being in accordance with the microbiological standards established by RDC 12/2001 [12] at the last day, that did not occurred with the control.

These results obtained presented higher values than the values obtained by Cubas and Torres (2019) [15], who found 10 CFUs in yellow dragon fruit nectar that were submitted to UV irradiation for increased shelf life, showed that the gamma radiation high efficiency.

Using gamma radiation to treat raspberries, Gimaraes et al. (2013) [16] found that irradiation reduces weight loss and filamentous fungi and yeast count with doses of 1.0 and 2.0 kGy. This conclusion confirm the results of this research that found the control of these microorganisms with 1.0 kGy dose.

Table 2 shows the average data obtained in the analysis of hydrogenionic potential (pH); total acidity and content of soluble solids, in papaya nectar samples with increasing doses of gamma radiation (^{60}Co).

By the results of the Table 2 we can observed that values practically did not differ between the control and the sample irradiated.

Table 2: Average values found for analyzes of pH, Total acidity and Tenor of Soluble solids in papaya nectar irradiated samples

Dose (kGy)	pH	Total acidity ($\text{g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ expressed in citric acid)	Content soluble solids ($^{\circ}\text{Brix}$)
Time 0			
0 kGy (Control)	5.5	0.12	16.5
1 kGy	4.11	0.15	14.1
Time 120 days			
0 kGy (Control)	Deteriorated sample	Deteriorated sample	Deteriorated sample
1 kGy	4.05	0.14	16.6

The results obtained meet the requirements described in Brazilian normative instruction 37/2018 [7], which presents a minimum values of 4.0 for pH; 0.1 for Total acidity ($\text{g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ expressed in citric acid) and 9.0 for content of soluble solids.

But these values did not allowing with Cubas and Torres (2019) [15] that found values like 3.6 for pH; $0.18 \text{ g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ for total acidity and 13.0 for content of soluble solids, that they are a little discrepant with the values found in this research.

The results are also in disagree too with Harder et al. (2009) [9], for kiwi nectar irradiated they found values of 3.0 for pH; $8.8 \text{ g}\cdot 100\text{g}^{-1}$ for total acidity and 16.7 for tenor of soluble solids.

Even so all values are in according with Brazilian normative instruction that regulate the norms and standards for this kind of product like nectars and juices.

3. CONCLUSIONS

By the results showed it can be concluded that the dose used was adequate for the conservation of this product for the period evaluated reaching the aim.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are thankful for CENA/USP for irradiated the samples.

REFERENCES

1. I. Manica, *Fruticultura tropical: mamão*, Agronômica Ceres, São Paulo, Brasil (1982).
2. “Néctar de Mamão: uma Alternativa Tecnológica à Redução de Perdas Pós-Colheita” <https://www.portaleducacao.com.br/conteudo/artigos/nutricao/nectar-de-mamao-uma-alternativa-tecnologica-a-reducao-de-perdas-pos-colheita/59328> (2019).
3. H. F. Braga, *Desenvolvimento de nectar à base de mamão (Carica papaya L.) adicionado de inulina e oligofrutos*, Unesp, São José do Rio Preto, Brasil (2013).
4. A. B. Santos, S. S. Bottoni, D. A. Silva, J. F. B. São José, E. M. M. Silva, “Study of the consumers of ready-to-drink juices and fruit nectars”, *Food and Science Technology*, v. **38**, pp. 504-512 (2018).
5. “Regulamento técnico geral para fixação dos padrões de identidade e qualidade para polpa de fruta”, www2.agricultura.rs.gov.br/uploads/126989581629.03_enol_in_1_00_mapa.doc (2019).
6. “Instrução normativa no. 37 de 10 de 2018”, http://www.in.gov.br/materia/-/asset_publisher/Kujrw0TZC2Mb/content/id/44304943/do1-2018-10-08-instrucao-normativa-n-37-de-1-de-outubro-de-2018-44304612 (2019).
7. “Decreto 6.871 de 04 de junho de 2009”, http://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_Ato2007-2010/2009/Decreto/D6871.htm (2019).
8. “Embrarad”, <http://www.embrarad.com.br> (2019).
9. M. N. C. Harder, T. C. F. Toledo, A. C. P. Ferreira, V. Arthur, “Determination of changes induced by gamma radiation in nectar of kiwi fruit (*Actinidia deliciosa*)”, *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, v. **78**, pp. 579-582 (2009).
10. L. R. Oriqui, M. Mori, P. Wongtschowski, S. R. Freitas, J. G. M. Santos, “Definição de Shelf life para produtos químicos – a importância de um guia de estabilidade específico para o segmentos”, *Química nova*, v. **34**, pp. 1869-1874 (2011).
11. H. F. Braga, A. C. Conti-Silva, “Determinação da doçura ideal em néctar de mamão adicionado de açúcar”, *Ciência Rural*, v. **44**, pp. 723-727 (2014).
12. “Resolução RDC no. 12 de 02 de janeiro de 2001”, http://portal.anvisa.gov.br/documents/33880/2568070/RDC_12_2001.pdf/15ffddf6-3767-4527-bfac-740a0400829b (2019).
13. American public health association, “Compendium of methods for the microbiological examination of foods”, 2. Ed., Washington, D.C., 1984.
14. AOAC, *Official methods of analysis of AOAC International*, AOAC, Washington, USA (1995).
15. J. L. C. Cubas, D. R. Torres, *Evaluación físico-química y microbiológica del néctar de pitahaya amarilla (Hylocereus triangularis), sometido a tratamientos por radiación con luz ultravioleta UV-C y pasteurización*, Universidad Señor de Sipán Pimentel, Peru (2019).

16. I. C. Guimarães, E. G. T. Menezes, P. S. Abreu, A. C. Rodrigues, P. R. S. Borges, L. R. Batista, M. A. Cirilo, L. C. O. Lima, “Physicochemical and microbiological quality of raspberries (*Rubus idaeus*) treated with diferente doses of gamma radiation”, *Food Science and Technology*, v. **33**, pp. 316-322 (2013).